Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/674,589	HUANG, CHEN CHIN J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeffrey L. Gellner	3643
All Participants:	Status of Application:	amendment received
(1) <u>Jeffrey L. Gellner</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Juneko Jackson</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>16 February 2005</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 7, 22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sequid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will provide a eparate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
	•	
Pople 2 MIL		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested that the additions of the language of claims 7 and 22, particularly claim 22 would possibly allow the application to pass to issue. Applicant suggested the addition of the language of claim 22. Upon reconsideration of the prior art Examiner withdrew his suggestion in a subsequent voice message.